

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Addiese: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P O Box 1450 Alexandra, Virginia 22313-1450 www.wepto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/587,415	07/27/2006	Paul Tee Hui Lee	105MC-032	7288	
32192 BRADLEY N.	7590 08/20/2008 RUBEN	3	EXAMINER		
503 MITCHELL COURT			MAI, TRI M		
CHAMPAIGN	I, IL 61821-3535		ART UNIT PAPER NUMBER		
			3781		
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE	
			08/20/2008	PAPER	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Application No. Applicant(s) 10/587,415 LEE ET AL.

Office Action Summary	Examiner	Art Unit	1				
,	Tri M. Mai	3781					
The MAILING DATE of this communication app			ddress				
Period for Reply		,					
A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPL' WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING D. Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.1 after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of the communication. Failure to only within the set or extended period for reply will. by stanted Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the maining earned paint term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	ATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION 36(a). In no event, however, may a reply be tin will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from , cause the application to become ABANDONE	N. nely filed the mailing date of this of D (35 U.S.C. § 133).	,				
Status							
Responsive to communication(s) filed on							
2a) This action is FINAL . 2b) This action is non-final.							
3) Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is							
closed in accordance with the practice under Ex parte Quayle, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.							
Disposition of Claims							
4) Claim(s) 1-22 is/are pending in the application.							
4a) Of the above claim(s) 13.15 and 21 is/are withdrawn from consideration.							
5) Claim(s) is/are allowed.							
6)⊠ Claim(s) <u>1-12,14,16-20 and 22</u> is/are rejected.							
7) Claim(s) is/are objected to.							
8) Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/o	r election requirement.						
Application Papers							
9) The specification is objected to by the Examine	r.						
10) The drawing(s) filed on is/are: a) accepted or b) objected to by the Examiner.							
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).							
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).							
11) The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	aminer. Note the attached Office	Action or form P	TO-152.				
Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign	priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a))-(d) or (f).					
a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:							
1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.							
2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No							
3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage							
application from the International Bureau							
* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.							
Attachment(s)							
1) Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)	4) Interview Summary						
2) Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) 3) Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/95r08)	Paper No(s)/Mail D: 5). Notice of Informal F						
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 11/16/07; 7/27/06.	6) Other:	C. P. 2-27					

Page 2

Application/Control Number: 10/587,415

Art Unit: 3781

1. Claims 13, 15 and 21 are withdrawn from further consideration pursuant to 37 CFR

1.142(b) as being drawn to a nonelected invention as previously set forth.

Claim 13 is withdrawn from further consideration for the elected embodiment does not show the brake at all

Applicant traverses the restriction by asserting that search and examination of the present application would not place a serious burden on the examiner.

35 U.S.C. 121.

"If two or more independent and distinct inventions are claimed in one application, the Director may require the application to be restricted to one of the inventions."

MPEP 803 [R-3] Restriction - When Proper

Under the statute, the claims of an application may properly be required to be restricted to one of two or more claimed inventions only if they are able to support separate patents and they are either independent (MPEP \S ***> 802.01, \S 806.06, and \S 808.01<) or distinct (MPEP \S 806.05 - 8 **> 806.05(i)<).

The examiner submits that: 1) the present application contains distinct embodiments. Thus, the restriction/election of species as set forth in the previous Office Action is proper. 2) It is noted that the examiner is required to search and consider each and every limitations including electronic search, word search, etc. so that all claims can be properly considered and patentability for each claims can be determined. Thus, there is a burden on the examiner when extra claims and/or additional embodiments are filed, e.g., the brake, the pivoting handle are all needed to be searched and considered separately.

This is made FINAL.

2. The drawings are objected to under 37 CFR 1.83(a). The drawings must show every feature of the invention specified in the claims. Therefore, the conversion into a push pram (cl. 21) must be shown or the feature(s) canceled from the claim(s). No new matter should be entered.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. The figure or figure number of an amended drawing should not be labeled as "amended." If a drawing figure is to be canceled, the appropriate figure must be removed from the replacement sheet, and where necessary, the remaining figures must be renumbered and appropriate changes made to the brief description of the several views of the drawings for consistency. Additional replacement sheets may be necessary to show the renumbering of the remaining figures. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

 Claims 3, and 14 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, second paragraph, as being indefinite for failing to particularly point out and distinctly claim the subject matter which applicant regards as the invention.

Regarding claim 3, it is unclear how the support wheels are inactivated by a stopper. Please identify the stopper. Application/Control Number: 10/587,415 Page 4

Art Unit: 3781

Regarding claim 14, "the pivot" has no antecedent basis.

4. Claim 1-11, 14, 16-20, and 22 rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(e) as being anticipated by Chomard (FR 2598897). Chomard teaches a wheeled luggage case with a plurality of support wheels 9 at one end of the bottom wall, and a retractable steering wheel assembly having at least one wheel having inactive and active positions as shown in Figs. 1 and 2, and a handle means 16,

Regarding claim 3, note that the term "tricycle wheel configuration" does not impart any structure over the configuration of the wheels in Chomard. In other words, the one wheel 10 and other wheels 9 form a triangular configuration.

Regarding claim 7, note that the support of the wheels comprises the axle at 21 which is also similar to that of the claimed invention.

- 5. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Chomard in view of Pineda. It would have been obvious for a person having ordinary skill in the art to provide stoppers as taught by Pineda, notes 64, 66, to protect the bottom of the luggage.
- 6. Claims 1-6, 10-12, 14, 16-20, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Seynhaeve (5044476). Seynhaeve teaches a luggage case with support wheels 19 and handle means 11a, and retractable steering wheel assembly 26.
- Claims 1-11, 14, 16-20, 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by Kuo (6182981). Kuo teaches a wheel luggage with support wheels 40, retractable wheel assembly 35 and handle means.

Regarding claim 19, note that the handle comprises the handle tubes (see Fig. 3C) and these tubes are mounted to the inside of the case approximate the support wheels.

Application/Control Number: 10/587,415 Page 5

Art Unit: 3781

8. Claim 12 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Kuo in view of Arias et al. (4261447). It would have been obvious to one of ordinary skill in the art to provide a stopper as taught by Arias to enable one to position the device differently.

- 9. Claims 1, 4, 6-11, 14, 16, 17, 19, 20, and 22 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Sadow et al. (4261447) in view of Chomard or Moon, Sr. (4717168). Sadow teaches a device with retractable wheels in the bottom surface as shown in Fig. 16. Sadow does not teach the wheel position to provide an acute angle extending away from the support wheels. Moon teaches that it is known in the art to provide a cart with the bottom being inclined from the support wheels. Chomard also teaches that it is known in the art to provide inclined angled wheels. Thus, to provide two wheels higher than the support wheels would have been obvious as taught by either Chomard or Moon, Sr.
- Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Tri M. Mai whose telephone number is (571)272-4541. The examiner can normally be reached on 7:30am-5:00pm.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Anthony Stashick can be reached on (571)272-4561. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Application/Control Number: 10/587,415 Page 6

Art Unit: 3781

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see http://pair-direct.uspto.gov. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.

/Tri M. Mai/ Primary Examiner, Art Unit 3781